

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,245	09/08/2003	Gary J. Mullen	GMMD-1-1002	8853
25315	7590 08/25/2005		EXAMINER	
BLACK LOWE & GRAHAM, PLLC 701 FIFTH AVENUE			MCCORKLE, MELISSA A	
SUITE 4800	VENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEATTLE, V	WA 98104		3763	
			DATE MAIL ED: 08/25/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			TUI				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/656,245	MULLEN, GARY J.				
		Examiner .	Art Unit				
		Melissa A. McCorkle	3763				
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If the period for reply speci If NO period for reply is speci Failure to reply within the second reply received by the Company of the C	OF THIS COMMUNICATION. available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 in the mailing date of this communication. fied above is less than thirty (30) days, a replacified above, the maximum statutory period et or extended period for reply will, by statute	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE and date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
2a) ☐ This action is F 3) ☐ Since this appl	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 September 2003</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accor	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> i 7) ☐ Claim(s) 8) ☐ Claim(s)	s/are rejected.	wn from consideration.	·				
Application Papers							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) Applicant may n Replacement dra	ot request that any objection to the awing sheet(s) including the correct	er. e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se etion is required if the drawing(s) is ob examiner. Note the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgme a) All b) So 1. Certified 2. Certified 3. Copies of applications.	nt is made of a claim for foreign ome * c) None of: copies of the priority document copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the prior on from the International Burea	ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been receive	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Ci	ted (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D					

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings were received on 1/26/04. These drawings are acceptable.

Claim Objections

1. Claims 7 and 8 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims 7 and 8 should depend from claim 6 rather than claim 1, since "said pull handle" is disclosed in claim 6, not claim 1. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. Claims 1-5, 9-16, 18-20, 23-24, and 28-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Breznock (2003/0018309) in view of Shea (4,813,941). Breznock discloses applicant's basic inventive concept of an apparatus for treating pneumothorax and/or hemothorax, substantially as claimed with the exception of an

Art Unit: 3763

adhesively coated tab configured to secure the hub of the device to the skin. Shea (4,813,941) shows this feature to be old in the medical art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention from the teaching of Breznock to add the adhesive strips (column 4 lines 4-6) of Shea for the purpose of securing the hub of the device to the skin of the body cavity.

- 5. Regarding claim 1, Breznock discloses a trocar with stylet and point for puncturing the body (fig 3A) and stopper (fig 3B); he also discloses a tube with lumen to receive stylet with open-ended portion and at least one fluid opening (fig 3B); he also demonstrates a hub and one-way valve continuous with lumens of tube and hub (fig 5A).
- 6. In regards to claims 2 and 23, Breznock discloses the point on the stylet extending beyond the proximal portion of the tube when said stylet is inserted into catheter assembly (fig 3B) and brings stopper into engagement with the hub.
- 7. Regarding claims 3 and 24, Breznock shows the diameter of the stopper to be larger than the diameter of the lumen of one-way valve (fig 3B).
- 8. Regarding claim 4, Breznock shows a portion of the stopper is removably retainable in at least a portion of the hub (fig 3B).
- 9. Regarding claims 9 & 28, Breznock shows an annular recess on outside wall of hub (fig 3B).
- 10. Regarding claims 10, 11, 29, Breznock shows one-way valve secured to hub by retaining ring position over said one-way valve within recess by at least one band and the adhesive tab is attached to band for securing the device to the patient (0015-0017).

Art Unit: 3763

- 11. Regarding claims 12 and 31, Shea discloses at least one tab including a removable covering (column 4 lines 4-6).
- 12. Regarding claims 13 and 30, Breznock discloses a disk coupled to catheter assembly for securing trocar to patient (0016).
- 13. Regarding claims 14 and 32, Breznock discloses at least one of said stylet, catheter assembly, one-way valve and pull handle are comprised of radio-opaque material (0035).
- 14. Regarding claims 15 & 33, Breznock discloses a tube that includes a kink-resistant tube lumen wall (0010).
- 15. Regarding claims 16 & 18, and 34 & 35, 36, Breznock shows the kink-resistant lumen wall includes a first coiled monofilament polymer fiber (0003) and a second coiled monofilament fiber interwoven (0030).
- 16. Regarding claims 19 & 20, 34 & 37, Breznock shows the kink-resistant lumen wall including a first coiled monofilament metallic fiber (0030) interwoven with a second coiled monofilament metallic fiber (0030).
- 17. Regarding claim 38, Breznock shows the metallic fiber includes stainless steel (0030).
- 18. Claims 21 and 22 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Breznock in view of Shea. Regarding claim 21, Breznock discloses a tube engaging a stylet, the tube having lumen with proximal and distal ports (fig 3B), a hub with proximal and distal face, the hub being attached to the tube, the lumen configured to form a passage for fluid continuous with tube lumen and dimensioned to

Art Unit: 3763

receive a portion of stopper on stylet, in sealing engagement with tube lumen (3B), a one way valve in engagement with the hub distal face, the one-way valve configured so that the lumen is continuous with the passage hub lumen and tube lumen (3B). Shea (4,813,941) teaches an adhesive tab configured to draw and hold the hub in sealing engagement with the skin (column 4 lines 4-6). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention from the teaching of Breznock to add the adhesive strips (column 4 lines 4-6) of Shea for the purpose of securing the hub of the device to the skin of the body cavity.

- 19. Regarding claim 22, Breznock also discloses a trocar obturator unit including the stylet with a point for puncturing the body, and a stopper coupled to stylet configured to position the stylet relative to catheter assembly (3B).
- 20. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Breznock in view of Shea as applied to claims 1-4, 9-16, 18-24 and 28-38 above, and further in view of Ryan et al (5,693,031). Breznock in view of Shea disclose applicant's basic inventive concept of apparatus for treating pneumothorax and/or hemothorax substantially as claimed with the exception of the exterior of stopper or interior of one-way valve coated with a lubricant. Ryan et al shows this feature to be old in the medical devices art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention from the teaching of Ryan et al to modify the apparatus of Breznock in view of Shea by adding lubricant (column 7 lines 55-60) to the exterior of stopper or interior of one-way valve so that the trocar is easily inserted into or removed from the catheter.

Art Unit: 3763

more effectively.

- 21. Claims 6-8 and 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Breznock in view of Shea as applied to claims 1-4, 9-16, 18-24 and 28-38 above, and further in view of Calinog (3,703,899). Breznock in view of Shea disclose applicant's basic inventive concept of apparatus for treating pneumothorax and/or hemothorax substantially as claimed with the exception of the device comprising a pull-handle attached to the stopper, wherein said pull handle is a ring or is a tab. Calinog shows this feature to be old in the medical devices art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention from the teaching of Calinog to modify the apparatus of Breznock in view of Shea by adding a pull handle (fig
- 22. In regards to claims 6, 7, 8, 25, 26, and 27, Shea's pull handle (fig 5 #11) can be a ring (fig 5) or a tab (fig 5), wherein said ring may be construed as a tab.

5) of Calinog to the stopper in order to provide handle for the user operate the device

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US 4,153,058 by Nehme discloses a Pleural Decompression.
 Catheter. WO 95/18642 by Omirana discloses and Adhesive Surgical Retaining Device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melissa A. McCorkle whose telephone number is (571) 272-2773. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8am - 4:30pm.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/656,245

Art Unit: 3763

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nick Lucchesi can be reached on (571) 272-4977. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Melissa A McCorkle

Examiner

Art Unit 3763

MAM 8/19/05

S D. LUCCHESI

NICHOLAS DPATENTASAMINER

SUPERVISORY PANEOTINE CONTROL

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700